

CONTENTS

GENERAL PRINCIPLES

1	EXPECTATION OF PRIVACY	1
1.1	Automobile Parked in Driveway	1
1.1.1	Generally	1
1.1.2	Police Officer’s Technical Trespass onto Driveway; Effect	2
1.2	Interior of Motor Vehicle	2
1.2.1	Areas Where an Expectation of Privacy Exists.....	2
1.2.2	Covered Items in Area Not Otherwise Subject to Privacy Expectation	3
1.3	Equipment and Safety Features	4
2	EXISTENCE OF A STOP/SEIZURE/SEARCH.....	4
2.1	Following a Motor Vehicle	4
2.1.1	Merely Following.....	4
2.1.2	Pursuit; Criteria Implicating Constitutional Rights.....	5
2.2	Roadblock.....	6
2.3	Random License Plate Check; Random Stop to Check Documents.....	6
2.4	Activation of Lights.....	6
2.4.1	Context.....	6
2.4.1.1	Non-Community Caretaking.....	6
2.4.1.2	Community Caretaking	7
2.4.2	Civil Infraction.....	7
2.4.3	Threshold Inquiry.....	8
2.4.3.1	Moving Vehicle.....	8
2.4.3.2	Parked Vehicle.....	8
2.5	Use of Flashlight or Take-Down Lights	8
2.6	Use of Alley Lights on Moving Vehicle	9

2.7	Approaching Parked Vehicle	10
2.7.1	Investigatory Purpose	10
2.7.1.1	Merely Approaching	10
2.7.1.2	Blocking.....	11
2.7.2	Community-Caretaking Purpose	11
2.8	Canine Sniff of Exterior of Motor Vehicle	12
2.8.1	Exterior Sniff Where There Is Reasonable Suspicion of Criminal Activity	12
2.8.2	Exterior Sniff Not Search Under Article 14	14
2.8.3	Exterior Sniff Occurring During Routine Traffic Stop	15
3	LAWFUL JUSTIFICATIONS FOR A STOP.....	15
3.1	Administrative Inspection of Commercial Vehicle.....	15
3.2	Civil Motor Vehicle Infraction	15
3.2.1	Generally	15
3.2.2	Type of Violation	16
3.2.2.1	Equipment Violation.....	16
3.2.2.2	Traffic Violation	16
3.2.2.3	Inspection Violation.....	16
3.2.3	Level of Police Belief Required	17
3.2.3.1	Dependent on Type of Violation.....	17
3.2.3.2	Good-Faith Belief but Officer’s Mistake of Law or Fact	20
3.2.4	Authorization Test Versus Pretext Test.....	21
3.2.4.1	Difference Explained; Pretextual-Stop Rule Rejected.....	21
3.2.4.2	Failure to Cite for Violation Not Fatal to Subsequent Police Action.....	22
3.2.5	Police Officer Defined.....	22
3.2.6	Length of Detention.....	23
3.3	Community Caretaking.....	24
3.3.1	“Totally Divorced” Rule.....	24
3.3.1.1	Officer’s Belief of Possibility of Criminal Activity Does Not Change Justification.....	24

3.3.1.2	Concern for Motorist and Concern for Public’s Safety Justify Application of Doctrine	25
3.3.2	Noncoercive Approach and Inquiry	26
3.3.2.1	No Preliminary Showing of Need or Reasonableness Required	26
3.3.2.2	Activation of Police Lights Does Not Transform into Seizure.....	27
3.3.2.3	No Written Policy Required	27
3.3.3	Applicability When Based on Anonymous Report (Moving Vehicle).....	28
3.3.4	License and Registration Request Does Not Turn Check into a Seizure; Rationale	29
3.3.5	Exit Order Is Permissible	29
3.3.6	Stranded Driver and the Issue of Seizure.....	29
3.3.7	Explicit or Invariable Directive Not Required.....	29
3.3.8	Approaches Deemed Lawful.....	30
3.3.8.1	Massachusetts Case-Law Examples	30
3.3.8.2	Other Jurisdictions.....	30
3.3.9	Approaches Deemed Unlawful	33
3.3.9.1	Massachusetts Case-Law Examples	33
3.3.9.2	Other Jurisdictions.....	33
3.4	Emergency.....	35
3.4.1	Generally.....	35
3.4.2	Anonymous Report of Operating Under the Influence	36
3.5	Roadblock.....	37
3.5.1	OUI Detection.....	37
3.5.2	Homeland Security.....	37
3.5.2.1	Search Analogous to Screening/Administrative Search ...	37
3.5.2.2	Two-Prong Test for Legality	38
(a)	First Prong: Search Must Not Be to Gather Evidence for Criminal Prosecution	38
(b)	Second Prong: Search Must Be Limited in Its Intrusiveness ...	38

(b)(i)	Advance Notice a Factor; Minimizes Search’s Intrusiveness	39
(b)(ii)	Less Intrusive Alternatives to Search Not Required.....	40
3.5.3	Apprehension of a Fleeing Suspect	41
3.5.4	Roadblock Decisions Do Not Open the Door to Suspicionless Searches and Seizures in Other Contexts	43
3.6	Threshold Inquiry.....	44
3.6.1	Based on an Officer’s Observation.....	44
3.6.1.1	Operator Deemed Owner	44
3.6.1.2	Propriety of Stop; Based on Reasonable-Police-Officer Test, Not Officer’s Subjective Belief.....	46
3.6.1.3	The “Good Faith” Doctrine.....	47
(a)	Reliance on the Warrant.....	47
(b)	Reliance on Other Agency Records	47
(b)(i)	Federal View.....	47
(b)(ii)	Massachusetts View.....	47
3.6.2	Based on an Informant’s Tip	49
3.6.2.1	Anonymous Tipster Versus Citizen	49
3.6.2.2	Veracity and Basis of Knowledge of Tipster	51
(a)	Veracity	52
(b)	Basis of Knowledge	54
(c)	Federal Totality-of-Circumstances Test.....	54
(d)	Concerns Regarding Police	55
(d)(i)	Fabrication	55
(d)(ii)	Calculated Harassment	55
(d)(iii)	Arbitrary Action and Abusive Practices	55
(e)	Concerns Regarding Anonymous Telephone Tipster	55
3.6.3	Based on a Police Radio Broadcast	57
3.6.3.1	General Rule	57
3.6.3.2	Description of Vehicle	60
3.6.3.3	Differences Between Report and Observation.....	60
3.6.3.4	Type of Crime Reported	61

3.6.4	Based on a So-Called “Silent Movie” of a Possible Drug Exchange.....	61
3.6.4.1	Observed Interaction and Exchange.....	62
3.6.4.2	Observed Interaction but No Exchange.....	63
3.6.4.3	Observed Interaction and Ride to Nowhere	64
3.6.4.4	Collective Knowledge and Statements of Buyer.....	64
4	UNLAWFUL JUSTIFICATIONS FOR A STOP	65
4.1	Hunch	65
4.2	Contraband Interdiction Roadblock	66
4.2.1	Contraband Defined	66
4.2.2	Reason.....	66
4.3	License and Registration Check	67
4.4	Selective Enforcement Based on Race or Ethnicity	67
4.5	Warrant for Search of Residence.....	67
4.5.1	Search of Vehicle on Way to Residence.....	67
4.5.2	Curtilage Issues.....	68

THE STOP ITSELF

5	EXTRATERRITORIAL JURISDICTION.....	71
5.1	Police Territory Not Always Determined by Imaginary Boundary Lines	71
5.2	Sources of Authority Recognized.....	72
5.2.1	Fresh Pursuit	72
5.2.1.1	Common Law: “Fresh Felony Pursuit”	72
5.2.1.2	Statutory Authority: Fresh Pursuit for Misdemeanor or Felony.....	73
(a)	Pursuit Does Not Require Activation of Lights or Siren	73
(b)	Pursuit Justified Only for Arrestable Offenses Occurring in Police Officer’s Jurisdiction.....	75
(b)(i)	Police Knowledge of Arrestable Offense Required	75
(b)(ii)	Collective-Knowledge Doctrine Meets Requirement ..	75

(b)(iii) “Some Reason to Believe” Is Level of Knowledge Required.....	76
(c) Pursuit in Unmarked Police Cruiser by Plain-Clothed Officer.....	76
5.2.1.3 Authority Measured by Objective Standard.....	77
5.2.2 Aid Requested by Police	77
5.2.3 Mutual Aid Agreement.....	78
5.2.4 Specially Sworn City or Town Police Officer.....	79
5.2.4.1 Statutory Authority: G.L. c. 41, § 99	79
5.2.4.2 Purpose.....	79
5.2.4.3 Prerequisites to Invocation.....	80
5.2.5 Specially Sworn State Police Officer	80
5.2.5.1 Statutory Authority: G.L. c. 22C, § 63	80
5.2.5.2 Geographical Scope of Authority	81
5.2.6 Brookline Police Acting in Boston.....	82
5.2.7 Private Citizen	82
5.2.7.1 Common-Law Standard	82
5.2.7.2 Relaxed Standard Where Citizen Is a Police Officer and Crime Is a Felony	84
5.3 Sources of Authority Not Recognized	85
5.3.1 Emergency Exception.....	85
5.3.2 <i>Terry</i> Stop.....	85
5.3.3 Stop to Deliver Copy of a Civil Motor Vehicle Infraction.....	86
5.4 Remedy for Unlawful Extraterritorial Stop.....	87
5.5 Out-of-State Stops.....	88
5.5.1 Common Law; Look to the State in Which Stop Occurred.....	88
5.5.2 Interstate Fresh-Pursuit Laws	88
5.5.2.1 Massachusetts	88
5.5.2.2 Connecticut	89
5.5.2.3 New Hampshire.....	91
5.5.2.4 New York.....	93

5.5.2.5	Rhode Island.....	94
5.5.2.6	Vermont.....	95
6	SCOPE OF INTRUSION	97
7	TERRY STOP VERSUS ARREST	99
7.1	Degree-of-Intrusiveness Distinction.....	99
7.2	Blocking Vehicle.....	100
7.2.1	At Minimum a <i>Terry</i> Stop.....	100
7.2.2	Policy Issues Involved in Distinguishing <i>Terry</i> Stop from Arrest.....	101
7.3	Seizure of Keys.....	102
7.4	Detention of Individual.....	102
7.4.1	Placing Motorist in Police Cruiser.....	102
7.4.2	Length of Detention.....	103
7.5	Display of Weapons.....	103
7.5.1	No Bright-Line Rule; Reasonableness Inquiry.....	103
7.5.2	Safety Justification.....	104
7.5.3	The “Hobson’s Choice” Rationale.....	104
7.6	Considerations in Allowing Police to Adapt to Particular Circumstances.....	104
8	APPROACH AND CONTACT; FURTIVE MOVEMENTS.....	106
8.1	What Is at Issue.....	106
8.1.1	Not Forcing Officer to Gamble with Personal Safety.....	106
8.1.2	Citizen’s Right to Make Movement.....	106
8.1.3	Avoiding Turning Any Movement into Furtive Movement ...	107
8.2	General Meaning Ascribed to Furtive Movement.....	107
8.3	Typical Factors Considered in Furtive-Movement Analysis.....	108
8.4	Police Officer’s Testimony; Reasonable-Person Analysis.....	109
8.5	Furtive Movement as Reason for Search or Patfrisk.....	110
8.6	Routine Traffic Stop Completed; Prior Furtive Movement as Reason to Continue Detention.....	110

9	EXIT ORDER.....	111
9.1	Generally.....	111
9.2	Routine Traffic Stop.....	111
9.2.1	Fourth Amendment: As a Matter of Course to Both Driver and Passenger	111
9.2.2	Article 14: Reasonable-Safety Justification	112
9.2.2.1	Article 14 Rationale	112
9.2.2.2	Safety-Belief Threshold	113
	(a) Generally	113
	(b) Belief Measured by “Reasonable” Police Officer Standard....	113
	(b)(i) Standard Applied in Reviewing “Reasonableness” of Belief	113
	(b)(ii) Testimony by Officer That Fear Existed Not Necessary	114
	(c) Factors Alone Insufficient to Create Reasonable Belief	115
	(c)(i) Nervous Appearance.....	115
	(c)(ii) Inability to Produce Identification	115
	(c)(iii) Police Outnumbered	116
	(c)(iv) High-Crime Area	116
	(c)(v) Suspected Narcotic Involvement	116
	(c)(vi) Gang Membership.....	116
	(c)(vii) Prior Weapons Arrest.....	117
9.2.3	Exit Order May Be Issued to Conduct Search.....	117
9.2.4	Exit Order May Be Issued for Valid Investigatory Purpose.....	117
9.2.5	Exit Order May Precede Threshold Inquiry	119
9.2.6	Exit Order Not Dependent on Immediate Threat	119
9.3	Community Caretaking	120
9.4	Standing to Challenge Lawfulness.....	120
10	PATFRISK.....	120
10.1	Standard	120
10.2	Of a Person.....	121

10.3	Of a Container	122
10.4	Waiting for Backup	123
11	THRESHOLD INQUIRY.....	123
11.1	<i>Miranda</i> Warnings.....	123
11.2	Expanding Threshold Inquiry and Prolonging Detention	124

SEIZURES OF MOTOR VEHICLES

12	IMPOUNDMENT	127
12.1	Generally	127
12.2	Community-Caretaking Justifications	128
12.2.1	Generally.....	128
12.2.2	Vehicle Disabled, Illegally Parked, or Impeding Traffic	128
12.2.3	Postarrest; Vehicle on Private Property	129
12.2.3.1	Arrest Unrelated to Property; “Imperiled” Vehicle Exception.....	129
12.2.3.2	Arrest Related to Premises	129
12.3	Motor Vehicle Parked in Private Lot	130
12.3.1	Vehicle Parked at Time of Defendant’s Arrest in Vicinity	130
12.3.2	Vehicle Parked at Location Unrelated to Where Arrest Occurred.....	132
12.3.3	Vehicle Stopped at the Direction of Police	133
12.4	Consideration of Practical Alternatives to Impoundment	134
12.5	Impoundment Not Dependent on Arrest	136
12.6	Impoundment Allows Officer to Perform Acts Without Implicating <i>Bishop</i>	136
12.6.1	The “Minimally Necessary” Rule	137
12.6.2	Exigency Theory as Basis to Enter Vehicle.....	137
13	CRIME CONNECTION	138
14	FORFEITURE	139
14.1	Statutory Authority.....	139

- 14.2 Statutory Interpretations..... 139
 - 14.2.1 Merely Possessing Contraband..... 139
 - 14.2.2 Conveying a Party to a Drug Transaction 140
 - 14.2.3 Exercising Dominion and Control Over the Vehicle..... 140
 - 14.2.4 Carrying Drugs on the Person 140
- 14.3 Underlying Philosophy 141
- 14.4 Criminal Case Requirement 141
- 14.5 Exemptions from Forfeiture..... 141
- 14.6 Time Period to Initiate Proceeding 142
- 14.7 Right to Jury Trial..... 142
- 14.8 Burden of Proof..... 143
- 14.9 Double Jeopardy 145
- 14.10 Incident-to-Forfeiture Exception to Warrant Requirement..... 145

WARRANTLESS SEARCHES

- 15 SCOPE..... 149**
- 16 WARRANTLESS SEARCH OF MOTOR VEHICLE ON A PUBLIC WAY 149**
 - 16.1 Original Formulation of the Automobile Exception: Probable Cause and Exigency..... 149
 - 16.2 Current Formulation of the Automobile Exception: Probable Cause Alone 150
 - 16.2.1 Fourth Amendment..... 150
 - 16.2.2 Article 14..... 150
 - 16.2.2.1 Moving Vehicle to Secure Location Does Not Alter Current Formulation..... 152
 - 16.2.2.2 Timing of Search Once Vehicle Is Removed Is Critical 153
 - 16.2.3 Meaning of Probable Cause..... 154
- 17 WARRANTLESS SEARCH OF MOTOR VEHICLE PARKED ON PRIVATE PROPERTY..... 155**

18 DRUG SEARCH	158
18.1 Marijuana.....	158
18.2 Other Drugs	160
19 SEARCH BASED ON CONSENT.....	165
19.1 General Principles	165
19.2 Miscellaneous Issues	166
19.2.1 Consent Must Be Voluntary; “Knowing and Intelligent” Waiver Not Required	166
19.2.2 Consent May Be Found Even if Arrest Occurred Prior to Consent	166
19.2.3 Consent Not Dependent on Advisement of Right to Refuse...	166
19.2.4 Consent Not Dependent on Informing Person of Right to Demand Warrant.....	167
19.2.5 Consent Obtained Through Exploitation of Prior Illegality by Officer Is Invalid.....	167
19.2.6 Search with Consent Limited to Extent Individual Has Consented.....	168
20 INTERIOR PROTECTIVE SEARCH.....	169
20.1 Generally	169
20.2 Two-Part Test for Legality: Permissible Initiation and Scope	169
20.3 Knowledge of Presence of Weapon; Reasonable Concern for Safety	170
20.4 Search of Interior Prior to Exit Order	172
20.5 Search of Interior While Suspect Outside Automobile	172
21 PROTECTIVE SWEEP	173
22 SEARCH INCIDENT TO ARREST	173
22.1 Generally	173
22.2 Difference Between Search Incident to Arrest and <i>Terry</i> - Type Search.....	173
22.3 Probable Cause to Search Versus Arrest; Distinction and Importance	174

22.4	General Laws c. 276, § 1.....	175
22.4.1	Purpose of Statute.....	176
22.4.2	History Behind Exclusionary Amendment to Statute.....	176
22.4.3	Fourth Amendment and Article 14 Limitations.....	177
22.4.4	Policy Concerns.....	177
22.4.4.1	Pretextual Searches	177
22.4.4.2	Identity Searches; Limiting the Breadth of G.L. c. 276, § 1	177
22.4.5	Search Preceding Formal Arrest; Effect	178
22.4.6	Contemporaneous Requirement	178
22.4.7	Search Violating G.L. c. 276, § 1 but Justified on Other Grounds	179
22.4.8	Grounds Stated Do Not Support Search but Other Grounds Do; Rule	179
22.4.9	Search of Vehicle Before Search of Arrestee; Effect	179
22.4.10	Search Incident to Arrest Leads to Independent Search Incident to Arrest.....	180
22.5	Search Area.....	180
22.5.1	Generally Limited to Area Within Arrestee’s Immediate Control at Time of Arrest	180
22.5.1.1	Meaning of “Within Immediate Control”	181
22.5.1.2	The “Lunge Theory” Definition.....	181
22.5.2	Analyzing the Area Under the Arrestee’s Control	182
22.5.2.1	Search Area Dependent on the Circumstances	182
22.5.2.2	Factors Considered in Analysis.....	182
22.5.3	Case Examples Involving Arrestee.....	183
22.5.3.1	Defendant Standing Outside Vehicle.....	183
22.5.3.2	Defendant Seated in Police Cruiser	184
22.5.3.3	Defendant Removed from Area of Vehicle.....	184
22.5.4	Area Under Passenger’s Control	184
22.6	Container Carried by Arrestee	185
22.6.1	Fourth Amendment.....	185

22.6.2	Article 14	186
22.7	City Ordinance as Basis for Arrest	186
23	STORAGE SEARCH.....	187
24	INVENTORY SEARCH.....	187
24.1	Noninvestigatory Prerequisite	187
24.2	Lawfulness of Search Based on Two Inquiries	188
24.3	Three Interests Protected	188
24.4	Standardized Written Procedures Required Under Article 14.....	189
24.4.1	Reason Written Policy Required.....	190
24.4.2	Proof of Policy	190
24.4.2.1	Oral Testimony May Be Basis	190
24.4.2.2	Introduction of Policy Avoids Potential Hearsay or Best Evidence Problem	191
24.4.3	Breadth of Policy	191
24.4.3.1	Locked Trunk	191
24.4.3.2	Unlocked Closed Containers	192
24.4.3.3	Closed Containers Within Closed Containers	192
24.4.3.4	Containers Containing Valuables	193
24.4.3.5	Other Areas.....	194
24.4.4	Failure to Properly Complete Inventory Form.....	194
24.5	Limited Search Constitutes Inventory Search	195
24.6	Inventory Search and Impoundment Require Different Analysis	196
24.7	Police Officer’s Subjective Belief	196
25	PLAIN-VIEW DOCTRINE	197
25.1	Constitutional Overview.....	197
25.2	Four-Part Test for Seizure of Objects.....	197
25.3	Issues Surrounding the Test.....	198
25.3.1	Being Lawfully in Position	198
25.3.2	Observation of Objects Capable of Both Lawful and Unlawful Use	199

25.3.2.1 Generally.....	199
25.3.2.2 Constitutional Concern Raised.....	200
25.4 Observation of Item Versus Seizure of Item.....	201

OUI DETECTION

26 ROADBLOCKS..... 203

26.1 Written Guidelines Required to Establish the Reasonableness of the Action	203
26.1.1 “Reasonableness” Requirement of the Fourth Amendment and Article 14	204
26.1.2 <i>McGeoghegan</i> Guidelines	205
26.1.3 Site-Selection Requirement	206
26.1.4 Compliance with Guidelines	206
26.1.4.1 Constitutionality Measured by Compliance.....	206
26.1.4.2 Strict (Not Substantial) Compliance Required.....	207
26.2 Commonwealth Need Not Prove That No Less Intrusive Measures Are Available.....	207
26.3 Further Screening.....	209
26.3.1 Officer’s Discretion.....	209
26.3.2 Odor of Alcohol Alone Sufficient	209

27 FIELD SOBRIETY TESTING..... 210

27.1 Fourth Amendment and Article 14 Implicated	210
27.2 Article 12’s Self-Incrimination Provision Not Implicated.....	210
27.3 <i>Miranda</i> Warnings Not Required	210
27.4 Performance Request Must Be Based on Reasonable-Suspicion Standard	210
27.4.1 Combined Observations Rise to Required Standard	211
27.4.2 Suppression Is Remedy Where Suspicion Is Lacking	212
27.5 Operator’s Right of Refusal Not Recognized, but Compulsion Prohibited.....	212

SUPPRESSION OF EVIDENCE

28 MOTIONS TO SUPPRESS..... 213

 28.1 Particularity of Motion 213

 28.2 Supporting Affidavit..... 214

 28.3 Motion for Reconsideration..... 215

29 STANDING TO CHALLENGE SEARCH..... 216

 29.1 Standing Separate from Expectation of Privacy 216

 29.2 Automatic 216

 29.2.1 The Massachusetts Rule..... 216

 29.2.2 Rationale 218

 29.2.3 Denial of Ownership of Item 218

 29.3 Target..... 218

 29.3.1 Theory Not Adopted but Not Rejected 219

 29.3.2 Reason Behind Reluctance to Adopt Theory 220

 29.4 Derivative 221

 29.5 Expectation of Privacy 222

30 INDEPENDENT AND INTERVENING ACTS AND INEVITABLE DISCOVERY 222

PASSENGER ISSUES

31 DETENTION, IDENTIFICATION, AND THRESHOLD INQUIRIES 225

32 EXIT ORDERS 225

33 SEARCHES AND PATFRISKS 226

 33.1 Passenger Versus Passenger’s Containers 226

 33.2 Narcotic Cases 227

 33.3 Automatic Companion Rule 229

34 STANDING TO CHALLENGE SEARCH OR SEIZURE 229

STANDARD OF APPELLATE REVIEW

35 FINDINGS OF LOWER COURT231
**36 COURT INDEPENDENTLY REVIEWS APPLICATION
OF CONSTITUTIONAL PRINCIPLES.....233**
37 COURT MAY ADOPT DIFFERENT THEORY233
38 COURT MAY CONSIDER ISSUES NOT RAISED BELOW.....233

APPENDIX: U.S. SUPREME COURT CASES235

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES243

INDEX267